Mechanical Buckling of FG Saturated Porous Rectangular Plate with Piezoelectric Actuators

In this study buckling analysis of solid rectangular plate made of porous material bounded with the layers of piezoelectric actuators in undrained condition is investigated. Porous material properties vary through the thickness of plate with a specific function. Distributing of the pores through the plate thickness is assumed to be the nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution. The general mechanical non-linear equilibrium and linear stability equations are derived using the variational formulations to obtain the governing equations of piezoelectric porous plate. The effects of piezoelectric layers on critical buckling load of plate, piezoelectric layer-to-porous plate thickness ratio and actuator voltage are studied. Also, effect of fluid compressibility and variation of porosity on critical buckling load are investigated in the undrained condition. Closed-form solutions is used to derive the critical buckling loads of the plate subjected to mechanical loading. The results obtained for porous plates with the layers of piezoelectric actuators are verified with the known data in literature.
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1 Introduction

Porous material composed of two elements: the main part of the porous material is solid (Body), liquid or gas is the second part. Deflection and buckling problems of the porous plates have been expanded by many authors. Biot [1] described the buckling of a fluid-saturated porous slab under axial compression. He investigated interaction between the pore compressibility effect and critical buckling load. Buckling of porous beams with varying properties were presented by Magnucki and Stasiewicz [2]. Shear deformation theory applied to determine the critical load. They investigated the effect of porosity on buckling load of the beam. The bending and buckling of rectangular plate made of foam material which have nonlinear mechanical properties in thickness direction with its material properties being non-symmetric with respect to middle of the plate were studied by Magnucki et al. [3] They showed the result for a porous/nonlinear symmetric distribution plate.
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The critical buckling load for rectangular plate made of foam with two layers of perfect material was described by Magnucka-Blandzi [4]. The core was made of a metal foam with properties varying across the thickness. The analytical, numerical, and experimental critical buckling load for plate and beam made of foam with two layers of perfect material were studied by Jasion et al. [5]. They obtained global and local buckling-wrinkling of the face sheets of sandwich beams and sandwich circular plates. The values of the critical load compared by the analytical, numerical (FEM), and experimental methods. The poroelastic and thermoelastic coupling parameters for a linear poroelastic saturated rock were investigated by Zimmerman [6]. He deduced that poroelastic coupling parameter is more effective than the thermoelastic parameters. The effects of temperature gradients on pore pressure and stress distribution by using a non-isothermal poroelasticity theory was described by Ghassemi et al. [7]. Thereafter, the influence of cooling on pore pressure and stresses distribution by displacement discontinuity method was studied by Ghassemi [8]. The poroelastic circular plate under the mechanical loads base on classical plate theory and higher order shear deformation theory, Also thermal forces Base on classical plate theory (CPT) were described by Jabbari et al. [9,10] They investigated the effects of distribution and properties of pores that are saturated by fluid on critical buckling load of plate. Buckling analysis of porous plates with functional properties have similarities with the FGM plates to some extend. Javaheri et al. [12] presented the buckling of FGM rectangular plates under in-plane mechanical or thermal loads based on the classical and higher order shear deformation plate theories, respectively. The thermal buckling of simply-supported moderately thick rectangular FGM plates based on the FSDT under different types of temperature fields is investigated by Lanhe [13]. Shariat et al. [14] presented a closed-form solution for the buckling analysis of rectangular thick FGM plates based on the TSDT under mechanical and thermal loads. The buckling analysis of thin FG rectangular plates based on the classical or FSDT under various loads were done by Mohammadi et al. [15], respectively. Bodaghi et al. [16,17] used a Levy-type solution method to investigate the mechanical or thermoelastic buckling of thick FGM rectangular plates based on the TSDT, respectively. Bateni et al. [19] investigated the effect of temperature dependency of material properties on the critical buckling load. In this study, a four-variable refined plate theory is employed to derive the governing equations of equilibrium. A multi-term Galerkin solution is presented to derive the critical buckling loads/temperatures along with the buckled shape of the plate. Behravan Rad et al. [20] investigated three-dimensional magneto-elastic analysis of asymmetric variable thickness porous FGM circular plates with non-uniform tractions and Kerr elastic foundations. A comprehensive review of various analytical and numerical models to predict the bending and buckling under mechanical and thermal loads is done by Swaminathan et al. [21,22]. Chen et al. [23,24] presented the elastic buckling and static bending solutions based on Timoshenko beam theory also free and forced vibrations of shear deformable functionally graded porous beams. One of the techniques exists to increase the buckling load is equipping plates with smart materials. Piezoelectric materials, as sensor and actuator of the most common sub-group of smart materials are used in solid structures to control the deformation, vibration and buckling control of structures. An analytical solution for free vibration analysis of a piezoelectric coupled circular plate with clamped and simply supported boundary conditions based on classical plate theory was showed by Wang et al. [25]. Post-buckling of piezoelectric FGM plates subjected to the thermo-electro-mechanical loading was reported by Liew et al. [26]. They showed a semi-analytical iteration to determine the post-buckling response of the plate. Viliani et al. [27] investigated the active buckling control of smart functionally graded plates using sensor/actuator patches. Closed-form solutions for the critical temperatures of simply supported piezoelectric FGM cylindrical shells based on the higher order displacement field was described Mirzavand and Eslami [28].
They investigated three types of temperature loadings combined with constant applied voltage. Shen [28] presented thermo-electro-mechanical buckling and post-buckling of FGM plates with piezoelectric actuators based on the singular perturbation method. Thermoelastic buckling analysis of functionally graded circular plates integrated with piezoelectric layers based on the classical plate theory was studied by Khorshidvand et al. [28]. Jabbari et al. [28] considered the stability of sandwich plate with piezoelectric layers and poroelastic core under uniform thermal and electrical field. Also, they achieved their results based on the classical plate theory and first-order theory. They explored the effects of mechanical and thermal properties on stability of poroelastic plate too. Mojaheddin et al. [28] studied the buckling of poroelastic plate with piezoelectric layers under electrical, thermal, and mechanical forces. Jabbari et al. [28] considered the buckling of circular porous plate under transverse magnetic field. They explained the effects of mechanical and magnetic properties on stability of porous-magnetic plate.

The purpose of this paper is analyses of rectangular plate made of saturated porous with variety of property along the thickness and is supported by two layers of piezoelectric. The equilibrium and stability equations are derived by Euler equations from the variations that based on the classical plate theory. Close form solution is used to solve the obtained equations with simply supported edges. Also, the effects of pores properties in critical buckling load and stability of plate are investigated. These properties changes with fluid property that is stuck in pores and pore distribution along the thickness.

2 Governing equations

Consider a rectangular plate made of porous materials with length $a$, and width $b$, and thickness $h$, in the middle with two identical piezoelectric layers with thicknesses $h_a$ bounded to its upper and lower surfaces referred to the rectangular Cartesian coordinates $(x, y, z)$, as shown in figure (1).

The material properties are assumed to vary through the thickness according to the following power law distribution. The functional relationship between $E$ and $G$ with $z$ for plate is assume as (Magnucki et al. [2] and Magnucka-Blandzi [4])

$$G(z) = G_0 \left[ 1 - e_1 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2h} \left( z + \frac{h}{2} \right) \right) \right]$$

$$E(z) = E_0 \left[ 1 - e_1 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2h} \left( z + \frac{h}{2} \right) \right) \right]$$

$$e_1 = 1 - \frac{G_1}{G_0} = 1 - \frac{E_1}{E_0}$$

where $e_1$ is the coefficient of plate porosity $0 < e_1 < 1$, $E_1$ and $E_0$ are Young's modulus of elasticity at $z = -h/2$ and $z = h/2$, respectively, $(E_0 \geq E_1)$ and $G_1$ and $G_0$ are the shear modulus at $z = -h/2$ and $z = h/2$, respectively, $(G_0 \geq G_1)$. The relationship between the modulus of elasticity and shear modulus of elasticity for $j = 0$ and 1 is $E_j = 2G_j(1 + \nu)$ where $\nu$ is Poisson's ratio, which is assumed to be constant across the plate thickness.
2.1 Basic equations

The non-linear strain-displacement relations according to the von-Karman assumption are by Brush and Almroth [37]

\[
\varepsilon_{xx} = u_x + \frac{1}{2}(w_x)^2
\]
\[
\varepsilon_{yy} = v_y + \frac{1}{2}(w_y)^2
\]
\[
\gamma_{xy} = u_y + v_x + w_y w_x
\]  

(2)

Here, \(\varepsilon_{xx}\) and \(\varepsilon_{yy}\) are the normal strains and \(\gamma_{xy}\) is the shear strain, where \(u, v, \) and \(w\) denote the displacement components in the \(x, y,\) and \(z\) directions, respectively, and comma indicates the partial derivative with respect to its afterwards. Note that transverse shear strains are zero as \(\gamma_{yz} = \gamma_{xz} = 0\) in the Kirchhoff plate theory.

The displacement field for the Kirchhoff plate theory is by Wang et al. [38]

\[
u(x,y,z) = u_0(x,y) - zw_0,x
\]
\[
v(x,y,z) = v_0(x,y) - zw_0,y
\]
\[
\gamma(x,y,z) = w_0(x,y)
\]  

(3)
Where \((u_0, v_0, w_0)\) represent the displacement on middle plate surface \(z = 0\).
The linear poroelasticity theory of the Biot has two features by Detournay and Cheng [39]

1. An increase of pore pressure induces a dilation of pore.

2. Compression of the pore causes a rise of pore pressure.

The stress-strain law for the poroelasticity is given by Ghassemi [8] of the plate is written as following:

\[
\sigma_{ij}^h = 2G\varepsilon_{ij} + \lambda_u\varepsilon_{ij} - p\alpha\delta_{ij}
\]

(4)

Where

\[
\lambda_u = \frac{2G\nu_u}{1 - 2\nu_u}
\]

\[
\nu_u = \frac{\nu + \alpha B(1 - 2\nu)/3}{1 - \alpha B(1 - 2\nu)/3}
\]

\[
p = M[\zeta - \alpha\varepsilon]
\]

(5)

Here, the superscript \(h\) is used to denote the porous plate. \(p\) is pore fluid pressure, \(\lambda_u\) is the Lame parameters, \(M\) is Biot's modulus, \(\zeta\) is variation of fluid volume content, \(\nu_u\) is undrained Poisson's ratio \(\nu < \nu_u < 0.5\), \(B\) is the skempton coefficient, the pore fluid properties is introduced by the skempton coefficient. The values of the undrained Poisson ratio and the Skempton pore pressure coefficients depend on the pore fluid compressibility. Here, \(\alpha\) is the Biot coefficient of effective stress \(0 < \alpha < 1\). The Biot coefficient \((\alpha = 1 - \frac{G(z)}{G_0})\) indicates the effect of porosity on the solid constituents of poroelastic plate and it shows the effect of generated stresses in the pores on the poroelastic material in undrained condition \((\zeta = 0)\) and \((1 - \alpha B)\) is relation between drained bulk modulus and undrained bulk modulus.

The term \(\alpha B\) is coupling between pore fluid effects and macroscopic deformation Zimmerman [6], and \(\varepsilon\) is the volumetric strain.

The two dimensional stress-strain law for plane-stress condition in the Cartesian coordinate for the undrained condition \((\zeta = 0)\) is given by

\[
\sigma_{xx}^h = A_1(z)\varepsilon_{xx} + B_1(z)\varepsilon_{yy}
\]

\[
\sigma_{yy}^h = A_1(z)\varepsilon_{yy} + B_1(z)\varepsilon_{xx}
\]

\[
\sigma_{xy}^h = G(z)\gamma_{xy}
\]

(6)

By substituting the third and fourth equations of (5) into Eq. (4), terms \(A_1(z), B_1(z), C_1(z)\) and \(R_1(z)\) become

\[
A_1(z) = 2G(z) + (\lambda_u + M\alpha^2)R(z)
\]

\[
B_1(z) = (\lambda_u + M\alpha^2)R(z)
\]
\[ R(z) = 1 - \frac{\lambda_u + M\alpha^2}{2G(z) + \lambda_u + M\alpha^2} \]  

(7)

The stress-strain law for piezoelectric parts by Liew et al. [27] of the plate are written as following:

\[
\{\sigma\}^P = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{xx}^p \\
\sigma_{yy}^p \\
\sigma_{xy}^p
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
c_{11} & c_{12} & 0 \\
c_{12} & c_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_{xx}^p \\
\varepsilon_{yy}^p \\
\varepsilon_{xy}^p
\end{pmatrix} - 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & e_{31} \\
0 & 0 & e_{32}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
E_x \\
E_y \\
E_z
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(8)

Where the superscript \( p \) is used to denote the piezoelectric layer, \( c_{ij} \ (i,j = 1,2,6) \) is the elastic stiffness of the layers given by

\[
c_{11} = c_{22} = \frac{2G}{(1-v)} \\
c_{12} = c_{21} = \frac{2Gv}{(1-v)} \\
c_{66} = G
\]

(9)

The piezoelectric stiffness \( e_{31} \) and \( e_{32} \) can be expressed in terms of the dielectric constants \( d_{31} \) and \( d_{32} \). The elastic stiffness \( c_{ij} \ (i,j = 1,2,6) \) of the piezoelectric actuator layers as

\[
e_{31} = (d_{31}c_{11} + d_{32}c_{21}) \\
e_{32} = (d_{31}c_{12} + d_{32}c_{22})
\]

(10)

As only the transverse electric field component \( E_z \) is dominant in the plate type piezoelectric material, it is assumed that

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
E_x \\
E_y \\
E_z
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
V_a/h_a
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(11)

Where \( V_a \) is the voltage applied to the actuators in the thickness direction.

2.2 Strain energy

The total virtual potential energy of the plate as the sum of total virtual strain energy and virtual potential energy of the applied loads is equal to

\[
\delta U^h = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^b \int_{-h/2}^{+h/2} (\sigma_{xx}^h \delta \varepsilon_{xx} + \sigma_{yy}^h \delta \varepsilon_{yy} + \sigma_{xy}^h \delta \gamma_{xy}) \, dx \, dy \, dz
\]

(12)

\[
\delta U^p = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^b \int_{-h/2-h_a}^{-h/2} (\sigma_{xx}^p \delta \varepsilon_{xx} + \sigma_{yy}^p \delta \varepsilon_{yy} + \sigma_{xy}^p \delta \gamma_{xy} - E_z \delta D_z) \, dx \, dy \, dz
\]

(13)
\[ \delta V = \delta U^p + \delta U^h \] (14)

Substituting the strain-displacement relations from Eqs. (2) and Eq. (3) into Eq. (14), and apply the Green-Gauss theorem to relieve the virtual displacements, result in the following three equilibrium equations by Eslami [40]

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta u_0 & : N_{xx,x} + N_{xy,y} = 0 \\
\delta v_0 & : N_{xy,x} + N_{yy,y} = 0 \\
\delta w_0 & : M_{xx,xx} + M_{yy,yy} + 2M_{xy,xy} + N_{xx,w_{0,xx}} + N_{yy,w_{0,yy}} + 2N_{xy,w_{0,xy}} = 0
\end{align*}
\] (15)

Where \( N_{ij} \) and \( M_{ij} \) are the force and moment resultants defined by

\[ (N_{ij}, M_{ij}) = \int_{-h/2-ha}^{-h/2} (1,z)\sigma_{ij}^0 dz + \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (1,z)\sigma_{ij}^0 dz + \int_{h/2}^{h/2+ha} (1,z)\sigma_{ij}^0 dz \quad ij = xx, yy, xy \] (16)

3 Stability equations

Consider an equilibrium position described by displacement components \( u_0^0, v_0^0, \) and \( w_0^0 \). Each of these components is perturbed from the primary equilibrium state. An equilibrium state exists adjacent to the primary one, described by the displacement components as by Eslami [40]

\[
\begin{align*}
&u_0 \rightarrow u_0^0 + u_0^1 \\
v_0 \rightarrow v_0^0 + v_0^1 \\
w_0 \rightarrow w_0^0 + w_0^1
\end{align*}
\] (17)

Here, a superscript 1 indicates the magnitude of increment (perturbation). Accordingly, the stress resultants are divided into two terms representing the stable equilibrium and the neighboring state. The stress resultants with superscript 1 are linear functions of displacement with superscript 1. Considering this and using Eqs. (15) and Eqs. (17), the stability equations become

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta u_0^1 & : N_{xx,x}^1 + N_{xy,y}^1 = 0 \\
\delta v_0^1 & : N_{xy,x}^1 + N_{yy,y}^1 = 0 \\
\delta w_0^1 & : M_{xx,xx}^1 + M_{yy,yy}^1 + 2M_{xy,xy}^1 + N_{xx,w_{0,xx}}^1 + N_{yy,w_{0,yy}}^1 + 2N_{xy,w_{0,xy}}^1 = 0
\end{align*}
\] (18)

The stability equations in terms of the displacement components may be obtained by inserting Eqs. (16) into the above equations. Upon substitution, second and higher order terms of the incremental displacements may be omitted. Resulting equations are three stability equations based on the Kirchhoff plate theory for porous material plate.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_2 u_{0,xx}^1 + C_2 u_{0,yy}^1 + (B_2^1 + C_2^1) v_{0,xy}^1 - (A_3 w_{0,xxx}^1 + (B_3 + 2C_3) w_{0,xyy}^1) &= 0 \\
(B_2^1 + C_2) u_{0,xy}^1 + A_2 v_{0,yy}^1 + C_2 v_{0,xx}^1 - (A_3 w_{0,yyy}^1 + (B_3 + 2C_3) w_{0,xyy}^1) &= 0 \\
-(A_3 u_{0,xxx}^1 + (B_3 + 2C_3) u_{0,xyy}^1) - (A_3 v_{0,yyy}^1 + (B_3 + 2C_3) v_{0,xyy}^1) \\
&+ (A_4^1 (w_{0,xxx}^1 + w_{0,yyy}^1) + 2(B_4^1 + 2C_4^1) w_{0,xyy}^1) + N_{xx}^0 w_{0,xx}^1 + N_{yy}^0 w_{0,yy}^1 = 0
\end{align*}
\] (19)
Where

\[ A_2, A_3, A_4 = \int_{-h/2}^{+h/2} A_1(z)(1, z, z^2)dz \]
\[ B_2, B_3, B_4 = \int_{-h/2}^{+h/2} B_1(z)(1, z, z^2)dz \]
\[ C_2, C_3, C_4 = \int_{-h/2}^{+h/2} C(z)(1, z, z^2)dz \]
\[ A_2^* = A_2 + 2h_a c_{11}, \quad B_2^* = B_2 + 2h_a c_{12}, \]
\[ C_2^* = C_2 + 2h_a c_{66}, \quad A_4^* = A_4 + \left( h h_a^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2 h_a + \frac{2}{3} h_a^3 \right) c_{11} \]
\[ B_4^* = B_4 + \left( h h_a^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2 h_a + \frac{2}{3} h_a^3 \right) c_{12} \]
\[ C_4^* = C_4 + \left( h h_a^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2 h_a + \frac{2}{3} h_a^3 \right) c_{66} \] (20)

4 Boundary conditions

As stated, only plates with all edges simply supported are consider in this work, Out-of-plane boundary conditions for simply supported edges are

\[ x = 0, a : w_0^1 = M_{1xx}^1 = 0 \]
\[ y = 0, b : w_0^1 = M_{1yy}^1 = 0 \] (21)

The in-plane boundary conditions of the simply supported edges may be of the free to move (FM) type. This is classified as follow

\[ x = 0, a : u_o^1 = finite, \quad v_o^1 = finite, \quad N_{xy}^0 = 0, \quad N_{xx}^0 = -\frac{P_x}{b} \quad \text{(FM)} \]
\[ y = 0, b : u_o^1 = finite, \quad v_o^1 = finite, \quad N_{xy}^0 = 0, \quad N_{yy}^0 = -\frac{P_x}{b} - 2V_a(d_{31} c_{12} + d_{23} c_{22}) \quad \text{(FM)} \] (22)

Where a bar over each parameter stands for the known external forces applied at boundaries.

5 Mechanical buckling analysis

The functions for displacements that satisfy the governing equations and boundary conditions are

\[ u_o^1 = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{mn}^1 \cos(\beta x) \sin(\gamma y) \] (23)
\[
\begin{align*}
    v_o^1 &= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} v_{mn}^1 \sin(\beta x) \cos(yy) \\
    w_0^1 &= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_{mn}^1 \sin(\beta x) \sin(yy)
\end{align*}
\]

Where
\[
\beta = \frac{mn\pi}{a}, \quad \gamma = \frac{n\pi}{b} \quad m, n = 1, 2, 3, ...
\]

Substitution of Eqs. (23) into Eqs. (19) yield

\[
\begin{align*}
    K_{11}' &= A_1^t \beta^2 + C_2 \gamma^2 \\
    K_{12}' &= \beta \gamma (B_2^t + C_2^t) \\
    K_{13}' &= -(A_3^t \beta^3 + \beta \gamma^2 (B_3 + 2C_3)) \\
    K_{22}' &= A_2^t \gamma^2 + C_2 \beta^2 \\
    K_{23}' &= -(A_3^t \gamma^3 + \beta^2 \gamma (B_3 + 2C_3)) \\
    K_{33}' &= A_4^t (\beta^4 + \gamma^4) + 2\beta^2 \gamma^2 (B_4^t + 2C_4^t) + N_{xx}^0 \beta^2 + N_{yy}^0 \gamma^2
\end{align*}
\]

For a nontrivial solution of these equations, the coefficients of functions must be set to zero. Setting \(|K_{ij}| = 0\), the value of the \(N_{xx}^0\) is found as

\[
-N_{xx}^0 \beta^2 - N_{yy}^0 \gamma^2 = \frac{P_1^*}{P_2^*} + A_4^t (\beta^4 + \gamma^4) + 2\beta^2 \gamma^2 (B_4^t + 2C_4^t)
\]

Where

\[
\begin{align*}
    P_1^* &= K_{11}' K_{23}' - 2 K_{12}' K_{23}' + K_{12}' K_{13}' \\
    P_2^* &= K_{11}' K_{22}' - (K_{22}')^2
\end{align*}
\]

By substitution \((m = 1, n = 1)\), the critical mechanical load for porous-elastic plate buckling is obtained. Introducing the dimensionless form for \(P_x\) as \(P^* = \frac{P_x}{G_0 h^2}\) and substitution of Eqs. (22) into Eq. (25) and solving for \(P^*\) yields

\[
P^* = \frac{b}{G_0 (\beta^2 + \gamma^2) h^2} \left[ \frac{P_1^*}{P_2^*} + A_4^t (\beta^4 + \gamma^4) + 2\beta^2 \gamma^2 (B_4^t + 2C_4^t) - 2\gamma^2 V_a (d_{31} c_{12} + d_{23} c_{22}) \right]
\]

6 Result and discussion

The buckling of rectangular plates made of porous material with variable properties along the thickness with piezoelectric layers under uniform outside compressive load is investigated in this paper. The effects of poroelastic and piezoelectric layers parameters on critical buckling load \(P^*\) are investigated and presented.
Poisson’s ratio $\nu$ for porous plate and piezoelectric layers are equal, also we consider $G$ shear modulus of piezoelectric layers equal to $G_0$. Piezoelectric constants $d_{31}, d_{32}$ are $2.54 \times 10^{-10} \, m/V$.

The variation of the critical buckling load versus the plate aspect ratio for three various voltage actuators are shown in figure (2). It is apparent from this figure that the $P^*$ can be increased by applying a negative voltage on the actuator layers, and the effect of $V_a$ becomes more significant at higher plate aspect ratios.

The effect of dimension changes on the critical buckling load of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate. By increasing the length to width ratio of the plate, the critical buckling load $P^*$ reduces.

Figure (2) shows the critical buckling load for porous plate subjected to various actuator voltages by increasing the thickness of porous plate. As seen in these figure, by increasing the thickness of porous part, effect of voltage decreases.

Figure (3) shows the effect of the ratio of the piezoelectric layer thickness on the critical buckling load subjected to various actuator voltages is presented. It is seen that with an increase in the piezo thickness to width ratio, the $P^*$ increases. Also by increasing voltage from $-500$ to $500$ the critical buckling load decreases.

Figure (4) shows the effect of porous and various actuator voltages on the critical buckling load for porous/nonlinear symmetric distribution plates. It is seen that by increasing the porosity of the plate, the critical buckling load $P^*$ decreases. Also with an increase the voltage the $P^*$ decreases.

Figures (5) shows the effect of porous and piezo thickness on the critical buckling load for the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate. As seen in these figure, by increasing the thickness of piezo layer, the critical buckling load $P^*$ increases. By increasing the porosity, the free spaces within the plate increases and shear modulus decrease with respect to the first of Eqs. (1). Also, increasing the porosity of the plate decreases the critical buckling load.

In Figure (6) the effect of pores distribution on critical buckling load for the undrained condition and for simply supported edges is presented. It is observed that the pores distribution and $e_1$ have significant effect on the critical buckling load. In this figure it is seen that by increasing porosity the buckling load decreases. Also it can be seen that for the plate with high porosity, adding the piezo layer has better effect on stability of the plate with constant thickness of the porous plate.

In investigation of porous materials, properties of pores is so important in order to, in express of behavior of porous plate, it is seperated into drained and undrained conditions. In undrained condition, compressibility of fluid that is struck in pores are illustrated by Skempton coefficient (see Eq. (5)) that change between 0 and 1. As long as the compressibility of fluid is high, Skempton coefficient is willing to zero which results is just for drained condition. However, by decrease the compressibility Skempton coefficient increase up to 1 that plate behavior about the same as hemogenous plate without pores. As can be shown in figures (7). by increasing Skempton coefficient the critical buckling load $P^*$ of the plate is increased. In addition, the figures show the effect of actuator voltages on the buckling load that by increasing the actuator voltage of the plate, the critical buckling load $P^*$ decreases.
Figure (8) shows the effect of compressibility of the pore and piezo thickness on the critical buckling load for the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate. As seen in these figure, by increasing the thickness of piezo layer, the critical buckling load $P^*$ increases. By decreasing the compressibility of the pore, the critical buckling load decreases.

In figures (9) and (10) the effect of compressibility of the pore on critical buckling load is presented. It is observed that the compressibility of the pore have effect on the critical buckling load. In this figure it is seen that by decreasing compressibility of the pore the buckling load decreases. Also it can be seen that by adding the piezo layer has better effect on stability of the plate with constant thickness of the porous plate.

**Figure 2** Critical buckling load ($P^* \times 10$) vs. length to width ratio of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of $[V_a = -500, 0, 500 \, V]$, $B = 0.5$, $e_1 = 0.5$ with $\nu = 0.3$, $h_a/h = 0.1$. 
Figure 3 Critical buckling load ($P^* \times 10$) vs. thickness to width ratio of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of $[V_a = -500, 0, 500 \, \text{V}], \, \varepsilon_1 = 0.5 \, \text{with} \, \nu = 0.3 \, \text{and} \, h_a = 0.001$.

Figure 4 Critical buckling load ($P^* \times 10$) vs. the actuator voltage of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of $[h_a/b = 0.001, 0.002, 0.003], \, \varepsilon_1 = 0.5 \, \text{with} \, \nu = 0.3$. 
Figure 5 Critical buckling load \((P^* \times 10)\) vs. coefficient of porosity of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of 
\([V_a = -500, 0, 500 \text{ V}], = 0.5, [a/b = 1, h/b = 0.01, h_d/h = 0.1]\), with \(v = 0.3\).

Figure 6 Critical buckling load \((P^* \times 10)\) vs. thickness of piezo layer to width of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of 
\([e_1 = 0, 0.5, 1], = 0.5, [V_a = 500 \text{ V}], [a/b = 1, h/b = 0.01]\), \(v = 0.3\).
Figure 7 Critical buckling load \((P^* \times 10)\) vs. coefficient of porosity of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of 
\[
[h_a/b = 0, 0.001, 0.002], \ V_a = 0 V, \text{ with } [a/b = 1, h/b = 0.01], v = 0.3.
\]

Figure 8 Critical buckling load \((P^* \times 10)\) vs. the Skempton coefficient of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of 
\[
[V_a = -500, 0, 500 V], \ V = 0.5, [a/b = 1, h/b = 0.01, h_a/h = 0.1], v = 0.3.
\]
Figure 9 Critical buckling load \( (P \times 10) \) vs. the Skempton coefficient of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of \( [B = 0, 0.5, 1], e_1 = 0.5, [a/b = 1, h/b = 0.01] \), with \( \nu = 0.3 \).

Figure 10 Critical buckling load \( (P \times 10) \) vs. the Skempton coefficient of the porous/nonlinear nonsymmetric distribution plate with piezoelectric layers, for the cases of \( [h_d/b = 0, 0.001, 0.002], [V_d = 0 V], e_1 = 0.5, [a/b = 1, h/b = 0.01] \), with \( \nu = 0.3 \).
7 Conclusions

In the present article, the energy method is used for the buckling analysis of plate made of pore material and derivation is based on the classical plate theory with the assumption that the porosity of the material changes as a specific function. The equilibrium and stability equations for a porous rectangular plate bonded with two piezoelectric layers in upper and lower sides of the plate as a single structural member is obtained. The boundary conditions of plate are assumed to be simply supported. Two edges of the plate is subjected to uniform compressive in-plane loads. The effects of porosity and piezoelectric layers on mechanical buckling capacity of rectangular plates as closed-form solution are presented. It is concluded that:

1. By increasing the coefficient of porosity \( e_1 \) the buckling load \( P^* \) is reduced.

2. The critical load \( P^* \) will increase when the ratios \( h_a/b, h/b, \) and \( h_a/h \) increase.

3. The application of negative voltage on the actuator layers can improve the mechanical buckling strength, and the critical buckling load can be controlled by applying a suitable voltage on the actuator layers.

4. By increasing the Skempton coefficient, the compressibility of fluid within the pores decrease and the buckling load \( P^* \) increases.
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Nomenclatures

- $E$: Young's modulus of elasticity
- $G$: Shear modulus of elasticity
- $e_1$: Coefficient of plate porosity
- $\nu$: Poisson's ratio
- $\varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_{yy}$: Normal strains
- $\gamma_{xy}, \gamma_{yz}, \gamma_{xz}$: Shear strains
- $u, v, w$: Displacement components in the $x, y, and z$ directions
- $u_0, v_0, w_0$: Displacement on middle plate surface
- $p$: Pore fluid pressure
- $\lambda_u$: Lame parameters
- $M$: Biot's modulus
- $\zeta$: Variation of fluid volume content
- $\nu_u$: Undrained Poisson's ratio
- $B$: Skempton coefficient
\[ \alpha \]: Biot coefficient of effective stress
\[ \varepsilon \]: Volumetric strain
\[ c_{ij} \]: Elastic stiffness of the layers
\[ e_{31}, e_{32} \]: Piezoelectric stiffness
\[ d_{31}, d_{32} \]: Dielectric constants
\[ E_x, E_y, E_z \]: Transverse electric field component
\[ V_a \]: Voltage applied to the actuators in the thickness direction
چکیده

در این تحقیق تحلیل کمانش ورق مستطیلی جامد متشکل از مواد متخلف با لایه های پیژوکتريک در شرایط اندرین بررسی شده است. خواص مواد پرو در امتداد ضخامت ورق با تابع مشخصی متغیر می‌باشد. توزیع تخلخل در امتداد ضخامت ورق به صورت نامتناسب نمی‌باشد. نوزده در نظر گرفته شده است. مساحت کلی تداخل مکانیکی غیرخطی و پایداری خطی حاکم بر ورق پرو با لایه های پیژوکتريک با استفاده از روش حساب تغییرات بدست می‌آیند. اثرات هیاهای پیژوکتريک بر باز کمانش بحرانی ورق نسبت ضخامت لایه پیژوکتريک به پرو و ولتاژ اکوژوئور مورد مطالعه قرارگرفته شده است. همچنین تاثیر تراکم پذیری سیال و توزیع تخلخل بر باز کمانش بحرانی در شرایط اندرین ازپی‌بایی شده است. از حل‌های تقریبی برای پیش‌بینی پذیرای بات‌بست آوردن بار بحرانی کمانش ورق تحت بارگذاری مکانیکی استفاده شده است. نتایج بدست آمده برای ورق های متخلف با لایه های اکوژوئور پیژوکتريک با داده موجود در تحقیقات پیشین تأیید شده است.