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In this study, free vibration of functionally graded $(F G)$ rectangular plates for various types of boundary conditions has been presented. The properties of the plate are assumed as powerlaw form along the thickness direction, while poisson's ratio is kept constant. The governing equations of motion are derived based on Mindlin plate theory. The numerical solution, differential quadrature method $(D Q M)$ is used to discretized the system of partial differential equations and boundary conditions. The numerical results on natural frequencies of the FG plate for combination of boundary conditions, volume fraction index, radii to thickness, and aspect ratio are presented and with existing results in the literature are compared.
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## 1 Introduction

Functionally graded materials (FGMs) are designed so that material properties vary smoothly and continuously through the thickness from the surface of a ceramic exposed to high temperature to that of a metal on the other surface [1].
Many researches on analysis of free and forced vibration of plate-type structures have been reported. Leissa $[2,3]$ studied on free vibration of rectangular isotropic plates based on the classical Kirchhoff-Love hypothesis. The analysis of plate was considered in combinations of simply supported (S), clamped (C), and free (F) edge conditions. Gorman [4] obtained the exact solutions for the free in-plane vibration of rectangular plates for two distinct types of simply supported boundary conditions. where the boundary condition was two opposite edges simply supported while the other two edges are both clamped or both free. Matsunaga [5] employed power series expansion for displacement components in conjunction with Hamilton's principles to evaluate the natural frequency of uniform thick plates with simply supported edges. Rui Li et al. [6] presented a developed symplectic superposition method for free vibration problems. They obtained a general set of equations for determining the natural frequencies and mode shapes of the plates with any point supports. Fallah et al. [7] studied free vibration of moderately thick rectangular FG plates resting on Winkler model elastic foundation with various combinations of

[^0]boundary conditions. They obtained governing equations of motion based on the Mindlin plate theory and presented a semi-analytical solution using the extended Kantorovich method. Y.F. Xing, T.F. Xu, [8] presented the exact solutions of three configurations (G-G-C-C, SS-G-C-C and C-C-C-G) for the first time by using separation of variables method for free vibrations of orthotropic rectangular thin plates.

The differential quadrature method in compared with the finite element method and finite difference methods, in addition to the its ease of use and implementation, it can generate numerical results with high-order of accuracy by using a considerably smaller number of grid points and therefore requiring relatively little computational effort $[9,10]$.
The differential quadrature method, which was first introduced by Bellman and his associates [11,12], as a discretization technique for solving directly the governing equations is used in engineering and mathematics. It approximates the derivative of a function, with respect to a variable at a given grid point, by a weighed linear summation of the function values at all of the grid points in the domain of that variable. Malekzadeh and Karami [13] used DQM for free vibration analysis of thick plates with variable thickness on two-parameter elastic foundation. Ferreira et al. [14] combined the generalized DQM with the Carrera Unified Formulation to predict the static deformations and the free vibration behavior of thin and thick isotropic as well as cross-ply laminated plates. They showed that proposed methodology to be able to deal not only with fully clamped or completely simply-supported boundary conditions, but also with clamped, supported or free mixed external conditions. Rui Li et al. [15] obtained accurate analytic solutions for free vibration of rectangular thick plates with an edge free. They used for first time an up-to-date rational superposition method in the symplectic space for thick plates free vibration. P. Malekzadeh and S.M. Monajjemzadeh [16] obtained the nonlinear dynamic response of thin FG plates under concentrated moving load using the finite element method. First, they derived the nonlinear equations of motion based on the Classical Plate Theory by utilizing Hamilton's principle and then, employed Newmark's time integration scheme in conjunction with Newton-Raphson method. S.A. Eftekhari, A.A. Jafari [17] presented a mixed Ritz-DQ method to study the free and forced vibration of rectangular plates. They reported numerical results of their studies for various type of boundary conditions and concluded the presented method has more efficiency and accuracy than other numerical methods.

In this paper, the linear vibration equations of functionally graded rectangular plates are derived based on Mindlin plate theory by using Hamilton's principle. The influence of transverse shear and rotary inertia is taken into account. The researcher have applied eighth boundary conditions which are all forms that can be considered deploying simply supported (S), clamped (C), and free (F) on these plates. The effect of volume fraction index $n$ FG materials on natural frequency in combination of different boundary conditions for some aspect ratio is investigated. This appears to be the first thorough study by using DQM and based on Mindlin plate theory that presents effects of boundary conditions, material, and geometrical parameters on natural frequencies of FG rectangular plates.

## 2 Problem Formulation

Consider an FG rectangular plate of length $a$, width $b$, and a uniform thickness $h$ as shown in Fig. (1). The plate is referred to a Cartesian coordinate system ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) with the co-ordinates x , and y along the in-plane directions and $z$ in thickness direction, positive downward. Here, it is assumed that the material properties (i.e., Young's modulus $E$ and density $\rho$ ) of the FG plate vary through the plate's thickness according to power-law function for the volume fraction of the constituents which introduced by Wakashima et al. as follows: [18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=P_{m}+\left(P_{c}-P_{m}\right)\left(\frac{z}{h}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1 The geometry of FGM plate
In which $P(z)$ denotes a typical material property $(E ; \rho), P_{c}$ and $P_{m}$ refer to ceramic and metal constituents, respectively, and $n$ which is called volume fraction index and is a parameter describing the material variation profile through the thickness of the FG plate.

Displacement field of rectangular plate in the Cartesian coordinate system according to Mindlin's assumptions may be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(x, y, z, t)=-z \theta_{x}(x, y, t)  \tag{2}\\
& u_{2}(x, y, z, t)=-z \theta_{y}(x, y, t)  \tag{3}\\
& u_{3}(x, y, z, t)=w(x, y, t) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are the in-plane displacements of plate in x -, and y - directions, and $u_{3}$ is its lateral deflection. $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ present the transverse normal rotation about the x -, and y - axes.
Using equations (2)-(4), the linear strain components can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{x x} & =\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}  \tag{5}\\
\varepsilon_{y y} & =\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial y}  \tag{6}\\
\gamma_{x y} & =\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x}  \tag{7}\\
\gamma_{x z} & =\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x}  \tag{8}\\
\gamma_{y z} & =\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial y} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on Hook's law, for the plane stress case, stress-strain relations are obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{x x} & =\frac{E(z)}{1-\nu^{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{x x}+\nu \varepsilon_{y y}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\sigma_{y y} & =\frac{E(z)}{1-\nu^{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{y y}+\nu \varepsilon_{x x}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\sigma_{x y} & =\frac{E(z)}{2(1+\nu)} \gamma_{x y}  \tag{12}\\
\sigma_{x z} & =\int\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{x y}}{\partial y}\right) d z  \tag{13}\\
\sigma_{y z} & =\int\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{y y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{x y}}{\partial x}\right) d z \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Hamilton's principle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(\delta K-\delta U) d t=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta K$ and $\delta U$ are the variation of the kinetic energy and potential energy of the FG plate. Potential energy $U$, the kinetic energy $K$ of the plate are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =\frac{1}{2} \iiint_{V}\left(\sigma_{x x} \varepsilon_{x x}+\sigma_{y y} \varepsilon_{y y}+\sigma_{x y} \gamma_{x y}+\sigma_{x z} \gamma_{x z}+\sigma_{y z} \gamma_{y z}\right) d V  \tag{16}\\
K & =\frac{1}{2} \iiint_{V} \rho v_{0}^{2} d V \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $v_{0}$ denotes total velocity of each point of plate as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}^{2}=\sqrt{\dot{w}^{2}+\dot{\theta}_{x}^{2}+\dot{\theta}_{y}^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the variation of relations (16) and (17) into equation (15), the governing equations of motion are obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{x x}\left[-\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\theta_{x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)\right]+  \tag{19}\\
& C_{x x}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)+\frac{1+\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{y}}{\partial y \partial x}\right)\right]=I_{C} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& A_{x x}\left[-\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\theta_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)\right]+  \tag{20}\\
& C_{x x}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{y}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)+\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{y}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{1+\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)\right]=I_{C} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{y}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& A_{x x}\left[-\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right]=I_{A} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{x x}=\int \frac{E(z)}{1-\nu^{2}} d z \quad, \quad C_{x x}=\int \frac{E(z)}{1-\nu^{2}} z^{2} d z \\
& I_{A}=\int \rho(z) d z \quad, \quad I_{C}=\int \rho(z) z^{2} d z  \tag{22}\\
& \kappa=\pi^{2} / 12
\end{align*}
$$

$\kappa$ is corection factor.
The essential boundary conditions for simply supported, clamped, and free edges of plate are as follows :

Simply supported edge (S)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x}=0, \mathrm{a} & \theta_{y}=w=M_{x}=0 \\
\mathrm{y}=0, \mathrm{~b} & \theta_{x}=w=M_{y}=0 \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

Clamped edge (C)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x}=0, \mathrm{a} & \theta_{x}=\theta_{y}=w=0 \\
\mathrm{y}=0, \mathrm{~b} & \theta_{x}=\theta_{y}=w=0 \tag{24}
\end{array}
$$

Free edge (F)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x}=0, \mathrm{a} & Q_{x}=M_{x}=M_{x y}=0 \\
\mathrm{y}=0, \mathrm{~b} & Q_{y}=M_{y}=M_{x y}=0 \tag{25}
\end{array}
$$

where $M_{x}, M_{y}, M_{x y}$ are moments and $Q_{x}, Q_{y}$ are shear force stress resultants respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{x}=\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2} A_{x x}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\theta_{x}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& Q_{y}=\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2} A_{x x}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}+\theta_{y}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& M_{x}=C_{x x}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}+\nu \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& M_{y}=C_{x x}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}+\nu \frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& M_{x y}=\frac{1-\nu}{2} C_{x x}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Differential quadrature method

Let $\psi(x, y)$ be a solution of a differential equation and $0 \leq x_{i} \leq a, 0 \leq y_{i} \leq b$ be a set of sample points in the direction of $x$-axis and $y$-axis. According to DQM, the $r$ th-order derivative of the function $\psi(x, y)$ at a point $x=x_{i}$ along any line $y=y_{j}$ parallel to the $x$-axis may be written as [19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi^{(r)}\right|_{x=x_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{x}} A_{i k}^{(r)} \psi_{k j} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $s$ th-order y-partial derivative at a discrete point $y=y_{j}$ along any line $x=x_{i}$ parallel to the $y$-axis may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi^{(s)}\right|_{y=y_{j}}=\sum_{l=1}^{N_{y}} B_{j l}^{(s)} \psi_{i l} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{x}$ and $N_{y}$ are the number of sample points in the direction of $x$-axis and $y$-axis, $A_{i k}^{(r)}$ and $B_{j l}^{(s)}$ are the weighting coefficients of the $r$ th-order and $s$ th-order derivative. The weighting coefficients can be determined by the functional approximations in the direction of $x$ and $y$ axis. Using the Lagrange interpolation polynomials as the approximating functions, Quan and Chang obtained the following algebraic formulations to compute the first-order weighting coefficients [20]

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i k}^{(1)}=\frac{\prod\left(x_{i}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{k}\right) \prod\left(x_{k}\right)}, \quad i \neq k, \quad i, k=1,2, \ldots, N_{x}  \tag{33}\\
& A_{i i}^{(1)}=-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{N_{x}} A_{i l}^{(1)}, \quad i=k, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N_{x} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod\left(x_{i}\right)=\prod_{\bar{k}=1, \bar{k} \neq i}^{N_{x}}\left(x_{i}-x_{\bar{k}}\right), \quad \prod\left(x_{k}\right)=\prod_{\bar{k}=1, \bar{k} \neq i}^{N_{x}}\left(x_{k}-x_{\bar{k}}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weighting coefficients of the $r$ th-order derivative can be obtained from the following recurrence relationship [19],

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i k}^{(r)}=r\left[A_{i i}^{(r-1)} A_{i k}^{(r)}-\frac{A_{i k}^{(r-1)}}{x_{i}-x_{k}}\right], \quad i \neq k, \quad i, k=1,2, \ldots, N_{x}  \tag{36}\\
& A_{i i}^{(r)}=-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{N_{x}} A_{i l}^{(r)}, \quad i=k, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N_{x} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs (33) through (37) are given for the $x$-partial derivatives; the equations for the $y$-partial derivatives follow in an identical manner.
One of the key factors in the accuracy and rate of convergence of the DQ solutions is the choice of grid points. It has been suggested that the zeros of some orthogonal polynomials are commonly adopted as non-uniformly spaced grid points can generate more accurate solutions. Bert and Malik firstly introduced grid points for calculation of weighting coefficients as follows [19].

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=\frac{a}{2}\left[1-\cos \left(\frac{i-2}{N_{x}-1} \pi\right)\right], \quad y_{j}=\frac{b}{2}\left[1-\cos \left(\frac{j-2}{N_{y}-1} \pi\right)\right] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown that the DQ solutions with this type of sample points produce better accuracy than the commonly used uniform and non-uniform grid points.

## 4 Dimensionless governing equations

To solve the governing equations (19), (20), and (21), these equations must be obtained in dimensionless form.
To this purpose the following dimensionless parameters can be used as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=W(x, y) e^{i \omega t}, \quad \theta_{x}=\Theta_{x}(x, y) e^{i \omega t}, \quad \theta_{y}=\Theta_{y}(x, y) e^{i \omega t}, \\
& \omega=\Omega\left(C_{x x} / I_{A} a^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad t=T\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad x=X a, \quad y=Y b, \\
& \lambda_{1}=a / b, \quad \lambda_{2}=a / h \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

and finally the dimensionless governing equations can be written in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda_{2}^{4} E^{*}}{\rho^{*}}\left[-\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\Theta_{x}+\frac{\partial W}{\partial X}\right)\right]+  \tag{40}\\
& \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{\rho^{*}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{x}}{\partial X^{2}}\right)+\frac{1-\nu}{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{x}}{\partial Y^{2}}\right)+\frac{1+\nu}{2} \lambda_{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{y}}{\partial Y \partial X}\right)\right]=\frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{x}}{\partial T^{2}} \\
& \frac{\lambda_{2}^{4} E^{*}}{\rho^{*}}\left[-\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\Theta_{y}+\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y}\right)\right]+  \tag{41}\\
& \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{\rho^{*}}\left[\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{y}}{\partial Y^{2}}\right)+\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{y}}{\partial X^{2}}\right)+\frac{1+\nu}{2} \lambda_{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{x}}{\partial X \partial Y}\right)\right]=\frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{y}}{\partial T^{2}} \\
& K\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial X^{2}}+\lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial Y^{2}}+\frac{\partial \Theta_{x}}{\partial X}+\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial \Theta_{y}}{\partial Y}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial T^{2}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{*}=\frac{n+\frac{E_{c}}{E_{m}}}{(n+1)\left[\frac{1}{12}+\left(\frac{E_{c}}{E_{m}}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{n+3}-\frac{1}{n+2}+\frac{1}{4(n+1)}\right)\right]} \\
& \rho^{*}=\frac{12\left(\frac{\rho_{c}}{\rho_{m}}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{n+3}-\frac{1}{n+2}+\frac{1}{4(n+1)}\right)+1}{12\left[1+\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\frac{\rho_{c}}{\rho_{m}}-1\right)\right]}  \tag{43}\\
& K=-\frac{\kappa \lambda_{2}^{2} E^{*}(1-\nu)}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 Solving process

Here, the governing equations and boundary conditions are discretized based on differential quadrature technique. To obtain the DQ form of equations, first x and y directions of the plate are discretized to N and $M$ grid points and then governing equations and boundary conditions, via $D Q$ method are discretized as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\lambda_{2}^{4} E^{*}}{I_{2}^{*}}\left[\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\Theta_{x i j}+\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{i n}^{(1)} W_{n j}\right)\right]+  \tag{44}\\
& \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{I_{2}^{*}}\left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{i n}^{(2)} \Theta_{x n j}\right)+\lambda_{1} \frac{1+\nu}{2}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \bar{c}_{j m}^{(1)} c_{i n}^{(1)} \Theta_{y m n}\right)+\lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \bar{c}_{j m}^{(2)} \Theta_{x i m}\right)\right]=\Omega^{2} \Theta_{x i j}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{2}^{4} E^{*}}{I_{2}^{*}}\left[\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\Theta_{y i j}+\lambda_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \bar{c}_{j m}^{(1)} W_{i m}\right)\right]+ \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{I_{2}^{*}}\left[\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \bar{c}_{j m}^{(2)} \Theta_{y i m}\right)+\lambda_{1} \frac{1+\nu}{2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} c_{i n}^{(1)} \bar{c}_{j m}^{(1)} \Theta_{x n m}\right)+\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{i n}^{(2)} \Theta_{y n j}\right)\right]=\Omega^{2} \Theta_{y i j}$
$K\left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{i n}^{(2)} W_{n j}\right)+\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \bar{c}_{j m}^{(2)} W_{i m}\right)+\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{i n}^{(1)} \Theta_{x n j}\right)+\lambda_{1}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \bar{c}_{j m}^{(1)} \Theta_{y i m}\right)\right]=\Omega^{2} W_{i j}$
and the stress resultunts:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{x i j}=\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(A_{x x} \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{i n}^{(1)} W_{n j}+\Theta_{x i j}\right)  \tag{47}\\
& Q_{y i j}=\kappa \frac{1-\nu}{2} A_{x x}\left(\lambda_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{M} B_{j m}^{(1)} W_{i n}+\Theta_{y i j}\right)  \tag{48}\\
& M_{x i j}=C_{x x}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{i n}^{(1)} \Theta_{x n j}+\nu \lambda_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{M} B_{j m}^{(1)} \Theta_{y i m}\right)  \tag{49}\\
& M_{x i j}=C_{x x}\left(\lambda_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{M} B_{j m}^{(1)} \Theta_{y i m}+\nu \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{i n}^{(1)} \Theta_{x n j}\right)  \tag{50}\\
& M_{x y i j}=\frac{1-\nu}{2} C_{x x}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{i n}^{(1)} \Theta_{y n j}+\lambda_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{M} B_{j m}^{(1)} \Theta_{x i m}\right) \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2 Configurations of combined simply supported ,clamped and free rectangular plates are indicated by (a) SSSS, (b) CCCC, (c) SCSS, (d) CSCC, (e) SCSC, (f) CSSC, (g) SSSF, (h) CCCF. For convenience, S, C and F in a four-letter symbol are denoted as a simply supported, a clamped and free respectively.

According to the governing equations and boundary conditions and by using DQ method, the natural frequencies can be obtained. After a long reformulation, the governing equations and boundary conditions can be converted to the following matrix form [9].

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{BB} & \mathrm{BD}  \tag{52}\\
\mathrm{DB} & \mathrm{DD}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
d_{B} \\
\Theta_{x i j} \\
\Theta_{y i j} \\
W_{i j}
\end{array}\right\}=\Omega^{2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
d_{B} \\
\Theta_{x i j} \\
\Theta_{y i j} \\
W_{i j}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\Theta_{x_{B}} & \Theta_{y_{B}} & W_{B}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \Theta_{x_{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
\Theta_{x 11} & \Theta_{x 1 N} & \Theta_{x N 1} & \Theta_{x N N} & \Theta_{x 1 j} & \Theta_{x i 1} & \Theta_{x N j} & \Theta_{x i N}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \Theta_{y_{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
\Theta_{y 11} & \Theta_{y 1 N} & \Theta_{y N 1} & \Theta_{y N N} & \Theta_{y 1 j} & \Theta_{y i 1} & \Theta_{y N j} & \Theta_{y i N}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& W_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
W_{11} & W_{1 N} & W_{N 1} & W_{N N} & W_{1 j} & W_{i 1} & W_{N j} & W_{i N}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& i, j=2,3, \ldots, N-2, N-1
\end{aligned}
$$

and in which $\mathrm{BB}, \mathrm{BD}, \mathrm{DB}, \mathrm{DD}$, and $I$ matrices are obtained according to governing and boundary equations and summarized in Appendix A.
so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i}=\text { Eigenvalue }\left[-[\mathrm{DB}]\left[[\mathrm{BB}]^{-1}[\mathrm{BD}]+[\mathrm{DD}]\right]\right] \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 Numerical Results and Discussion

Using the method described above, frequency equation (53) is solved to obtain vibration frequencies of rectangular plates with any arbitrary combination of boundary constraints. In the present study, however, we only focus on eight cases which can be obtained by combining simply supported, clamped, and free as shown in Fig. (2). To illustrate the numerical results an FG plate, the material properties of $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ (ceramic) and Al (metal), as given in Table (1), are used in the numerical computations.

Table 1 The material properties of ZrO (ceramic) and Al (metal).

| Material | $\boldsymbol{E}($ Gpa $)$ | $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ | $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\left(\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}{ }^{3}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{Z r} \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 200 | 0.3 | 5700 |
| $\boldsymbol{A l}$ | 70 | 0.3 | 2702 |

Table 2 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing number of the grid points in each element

| Case | $N_{x} \times N_{y}$ | Mode sequence number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| a | $7 \times 7$ | 19.736 | 48.797 | 48.797 | 78.114 | 96.365 | 96.365 | 125.167 | 125.167 |
|  | $8 \times 8$ | 19.736 | 49.379 | 49.379 | 78.950 | 98.881 | 98.881 | 128.467 | 128.467 |
|  | $9 \times 9$ | 19.732 | 49.373 | 49.373 | 78.939 | 98.977 | 98.977 | 128.413 | 128.413 |
|  | $10 \times 10$ | 19.732 | 49.302 | 49.302 | 78.842 | 98.969 | 98.969 | 128.376 | 128.376 |
|  | $11 \times 11$ | 19.732 | 49.302 | 49.302 | 78.843 | 98.493 | 98.493 | 127.986 | 127.986 |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 19.732 | 49.305 | 49.305 | 78.846 | 98.496 | 98.496 | 127.991 | 127.991 |
|  | $13 \times 13$ | 19.735 | 49.305 | 49.304 | 78.846 | 98.524 | 98.524 | 128.013 | 128.013 |
| b | $7 \times 7$ | 35.929 | 76.386 | 76.386 | 109.980 | 138.708 | 139.734 | 166.995 | 166.995 |
|  | $8 \times 8$ | 35.928 | 73.165 | 73.165 | 107.705 | 146.375 | 147.416 | 175.188 | 175.188 |
|  | $9 \times 9$ | 35.944 | 73.235 | 73.235 | 107.843 | 131.070 | 131.703 | 164.105 | 164.105 |
|  | $10 \times 10$ | 35.944 | 73.247 | 73.247 | 107.908 | 131.440 | 132.084 | 164.507 | 164.507 |
|  | $11 \times 11$ | 35.945 | 73.249 | 73.249 | 107.907 | 131.166 | 131.797 | 164.337 | 164.337 |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 35.945 | 73.247 | 73.247 | 107.909 | 131.169 | 131.799 | 164.345 | 164.345 |
|  | $13 \times 13$ | 35.945 | 73.247 | 73.247 | 107.909 | 131.152 | 131.783 | 164.333 | 164.333 |
| c | $7 \times 7$ | 23.603 | 51.051 | 58.860 | 85.762 | 97.812 | 117.952 | 130.848 | 141.508 |
|  | $8 \times 8$ | 23.641 | 51.705 | 58.513 | 85.938 | 100.456 | 116.554 | 133.699 | 143.567 |
|  | $9 \times 9$ | 23.632 | 51.680 | 58.653 | 86.087 | 100.514 | 113.069 | 133.856 | 140.497 |
|  | $10 \times 10$ | 23.632 | 51.620 | 58.571 | 85.972 | 100.527 | 113.445 | 133.773 | 140.828 |
|  | $11 \times 11$ | 23.633 | 51.619 | 58.567 | 85.979 | 100.052 | 112.973 | 133.424 | 140.441 |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 23.633 | 51.621 | 58.570 | 85.981 | 100.058 | 112.933 | 133.422 | 140.422 |
|  | $13 \times 13$ | 23.633 | 51.622 | 58.570 | 86.981 | 100.085 | 112.957 | 133.446 | 140.440 |
| d | $7 \times 7$ | 31.758 | 63.469 | 74.176 | 101.773 | 120.726 | 137.826 | 152.180 | 161.839 |
|  | $8 \times 8$ | 31.793 | 63.145 | 70.898 | 100.396 | 119.509 | 145.625 | 154.193 | 170.110 |
|  | $9 \times 9$ | 31.794 | 63.308 | 70.924 | 100.562 | 116.158 | 129.849 | 151.316 | 158.763 |
|  | $10 \times 10$ | 31.796 | 63.231 | 70.945 | 100.531 | 116.527 | 130.249 | 151.725 | 159.038 |
|  | $11 \times 11$ | 31.795 | 63.229 | 70.943 | 100.540 | 116.072 | 129.954 | 151.361 | 158.890 |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 31.795 | 63.231 | 70.942 | 100.539 | 116.034 | 129.959 | 151.351 | 158.885 |
|  | $13 \times 13$ | 31.795 | 63.237 | 70.942 | 100.540 | 116.058 | 129.941 | 151.366 | 158.875 |

The eigenvalues are expressed in terms of the frequency parameter as $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Convergence studies are carried out for the mentioned cases (a-h) to establish appropriate number of grid points for obtaining the accurate solutions. The convergence patterns of the frequency parameters with the number of grid points in each element are presented in Tables (2) and (3) for cases a-d and e-h in the same set of parameter values $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=100$ and $n=5$. It can also be observed that the convergence rate varies for different configurations of plate.

Table 3 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing number of the grid points in each element

| Case | $N_{x} \times N_{y}$ | Mode sequence number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| e | $7 \times 7$ | 28.918 | 54.185 | 72.626 | 95.371 | 99.819 | 136.821 | 137.168 | 157.347 |
|  | $8 \times 8$ | 28.919 | 54.712 | 69.145 | 94.358 | 102.298 | 140.119 | 144.548 | 166.040 |
|  | $9 \times 9$ | 28.926 | 54.737 | 69.191 | 94.379 | 102.448 | 128.624 | 140.056 | 154.086 |
|  | $10 \times 10$ | 28.926 | 54.672 | 69.203 | 94.371 | 102.440 | 129.007 | 140.120 | 154.418 |
|  | $11 \times 11$ | 28.926 | 54.673 | 69.203 | 94.371 | 101.983 | 128.712 | 139.759 | 154.235 |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 28.926 | 54.675 | 69.201 | 94.372 | 101.987 | 128.714 | 139.768 | 154.238 |
|  | $13 \times 13$ | 28.926 | 54.675 | 69.201 | 94.372 | 102.014 | 128.697 | 139.788 | 154.224 |
| f | $7 \times 7$ | 26.972 | 60.618 | 60.935 | 92.842 | 118.953 | 119.273 | 146.090 | 146.916 |
|  | $8 \times 8$ | 27.049 | 60.407 | 60.657 | 92.460 | 117.860 | 117.983 | 148.105 | 148.535 |
|  | $9 \times 9$ | 27.032 | 60.527 | 60.774 | 92.760 | 114.361 | 114.515 | 145.398 | 145.702 |
|  | $10 \times 10$ | 27.035 | 60.455 | 60.704 | 92.626 | 114.754 | 114.910 | 145.676 | 145.976 |
|  | $11 \times 11$ | 27.035 | 60.449 | 60.698 | 92.641 | 114.286 | 114.436 | 145.326 | 145.630 |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 27.035 | 60.452 | 60.701 | 92.640 | 114.250 | 114.398 | 145.302 | 145.606 |
|  | $13 \times 13$ | 27.035 | 60.452 | 60.701 | 92.641 | 114.273 | 114.422 | 145.321 | 145.624 |
| g | $7 \times 7$ | 12.016 | 27.928 | 38.338 | 58.251 | 58.715 | 66.409 | 89.707 | 90.443 |
|  | $8 \times 8$ | 11.789 | 28.001 | 43.669 | 57.802 | 61.875 | 79.011 | 92.820 | 97.911 |
|  | $9 \times 9$ | 11.702 | 27.956 | 42.177 | 60.389 | 60.974 | 95.742 | 104.280 | 108.674 |
|  | $10 \times 10$ | 11.686 | 27.790 | 41.250 | 59.303 | 63.223 | 95.144 | 96.339 | 109.333 |
|  | $11 \times 11$ | 11.683 | 27.752 | 41.187 | 59.110 | 62.053 | 89.799 | 94.784 | 108.706 |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 11.683 | 27.747 | 41.184 | 59.047 | 61.748 | 89.994 | 94.395 | 108.694 |
|  | $13 \times 13$ | 11.683 | 27.746 | 41.173 | 59.021 | 61.793 | 90.250 | 94.370 | 108.841 |
| h | $7 \times 7$ | 26.205 | 42.182 | 64.354 | 82.799 | 86.006 | 95.991 | 123.188 | 124.935 |
|  | $8 \times 8$ | 25.348 | 41.060 | 68.204 | 72.705 | 86.322 | 117.071 | 120.950 | 135.215 |
|  | $9 \times 9$ | 24.802 | 41.324 | 65.653 | 73.381 | 84.274 | 117.803 | 120.204 | 133.514 |
|  | $10 \times 10$ | 24.497 | 40.644 | 64.563 | 79.483 | 82.166 | 118.021 | 121.198 | 127.063 |
|  | $11 \times 11$ | 24.309 | 40.455 | 64.055 | 77.719 | 81.651 | 118.165 | 123.285 | 141.836 |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 24.173 | 40.286 | 63.851 | 76.807 | 81.241 | 117.314 | 123.112 | 138.267 |
|  | $13 \times 13$ | 24.091 | 40.214 | 63.614 | 76.779 | 81.022 | 117.000 | 122.944 | 133.468 |

In Figs. (3) - (4) for cases (a-h) and $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=100$ and $n=1$ convergence of the first four normalized dimensionless natural frequencies $\Omega / \Omega^{*}$ have been shown. It is observed that $\Omega / \Omega^{*}$ converges with the increasing number of grid points in all boundary conditions. The figures related to cases a-h show that the increase of the grid points improves the convergence of the presented DQ method. For cases a-f, 13 and for g-h, 21 grid points are adequate to guarantee the convergence.

Frequency parameters corresponding to the first eight modes of vibration for cases a-f are presented in Tables ( $4-11$ ). Here, aspect ratio $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ of rectangular plate increases from 0.5 to 4 . It can be seen that when volume fraction index n increases, there is a significant increase on natural frequency with increasing $\lambda_{1}$.


Figure 3 Convergence of normalized natural frequencies $\Omega / \Omega^{*}$ with respect of grid points $N$, for $\lambda_{1}=1$, $\lambda_{2}=100, n=1$ ( $\Omega^{*}$ is DQ results using $N=20$ ).


Figure 4 Convergence of normalized natural frequencies $\Omega / \Omega^{*}$ with respect of grid points $N$, for $\lambda_{1}=1$, $\lambda_{2}=100, n=1\left(\Omega^{*}\right.$ is DQ results using $\left.N=20\right)$.

Table 4 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{1}$ for a simply supported rectangular plate (SSSS)

| $\lambda_{1}$ | Mode sequence number of case a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 0.4 |  | 11.449 | 16.182 | 24.082 | 35.136 | 41.058 | 45.795 | 49.348 | 53.691 |
|  |  | 11.446 | 16.181 | 24.071 | 35.097 | 41.026 | 45.756 | 49.235 | 53.637 |
| 0.5 |  | 12.34 | 19.74 | 32.08 | 41.95 | 49.35 | 49.35 | 61.69 | 71.55 |
|  |  | 12.334 | 19.732 | 32.057 | 41.913 | 49.275 | 49.303 | 61.614 | 71.351 |
| $2 / 3$ |  | 14.256 | 27.416 | 43.865 | 49.348 | 58.024 | 78.957 | 80.053 | 93.213 |
|  |  | 14.252 | 27.402 | 43.829 | 49.303 | 56.964 | 78.841 | 79.882 | 93.053 |
| 1 | Liew et al. [21] | 19.74 | 49.35 | 49.35 | 78.96 | 98.70 | 98.70 | 128.3 | 128.3 |
|  | Dawe [22] | 19.732 | 49.303 | 49.303 | 78.841 | 98.515 | 98.515 | 127.999 | 127.999 |
|  | Eftekhari [17] | 19.739 | 49.348 | 49.348 | 78.957 | 98.696 | 98.696 | 128.305 | 128.305 |
|  | Liu [23] | 19.732 | 49.303 | 49.303 | 78.841 | 98.515 | 98.515 | 127.999 | 127.999 |
|  | Leissa [3] | 19.739 | 49.348 | 49.348 | 78.957 | 98.696 | 98.696 | 128.305 | 128.305 |
|  | Present | 19.732 | 49.303 | 49.303 | 78.841 | 98.516 | 98.516 | 128.000 | 128.000 |
| 1.5 | Leissa [3] | 32.076 | 61.685 | 98.696 | 111.330 | 128.305 | 177.653 | 180.120 | 209.729 |
|  | Present | 32.057 | 61.614 | 98.515 | 110.805 | 127.999 | 177.069 | 179.404 | 208.918 |
| 2 | Liew et al. [3] | 49.35 | 78.96 | 128.3 | 167.8 | 197.4 | 197.4 | 246.7 | 286.2 |
|  | Present | 49.303 | 78.841 | 128.000 | 167.262 | 196.564 | 196.671 | 245.616 | 284.278 |
| 2.5 | Leissa[3] | 71.556 | 101.163 | 150.511 | 219.599 | 256.610 | 286.218 | 308.425 | 335.566 |
|  | Present | 71.459 | 100.973 | 150.092 | 218.609 | 255.393 | 284.707 | 306.255 | 333.492 |
| 4 | Liew et al. [21] | 167.8 | 197.4 | 246.7 | 315.8 | 404.7 | 513.2 | 641.5 | 641.5 |
|  | Present | 167.261 | 196.671 | 245.615 | 313.915 | 401.267 | 518.784 | 634.018 | 650.344 |

Table 5 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{1}$ for a clamped rectangular plate (CCCC)

| $\lambda_{1}$ | Mode sequence number of case b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 0.4 |  | 23.648 | 27.817 | 35.446 | 46.702 | 61.554 | 63.100 | - | - |
|  |  | 23.624 | 27.781 | 35.378 | 46.621 | 61.425 | 62.969 | 67.260 | 74.621 |
| 0.5 |  | 25.58 | 31.83 | 44.77 | 63.33 | 63.98 | 71.08 | 83.27 | 87.25 |
|  |  | 24.557 | 31.793 | 44.711 | 63.246 | 63.866 | 70.932 | 83.081 | 87.121 |
| $2 / 3$ |  | 27.010 | 41.716 | 66.143 | 66.552 | 79.850 | 100.85 | - | - |
|  |  | 26.980 | 41.650 | 65.999 | 66.401 | 79.625 | 100.600 | 102.838 | 124.891 |
| 1 | Liu [23] | 35.937 | 73.232 | 73.232 | 107.889 | 131.118 | 131.752 | 164.300 | 164.300 |
|  | C.H.W. Ng [24] | 35.989 | 73.407 | 73.407 | 108.249 | 131.622 | 132.244 | 165.074 | 165.074 |
|  | Eftekhari [17] | 35.985 | 73.394 | 73.394 | 108.217 | 131.581 | 132.206 | - | - |
|  | Leissa [3] | 35.992 | 73.413 | 73.413 | 108.27 | 131.64 | 132.24 | - | - |
|  | Present | 35.942 | 73.237 | 73.237 | 107.888 | 131.125 | 131.756 | 164.291 | 164.291 |
| 1.5 | Leissa [3] | 60.772 | 93.860 | 148.82 | 149.74 | 179.66 | 226.92 | - | - |
|  | Present | 60.636 | 93.565 | 148.148 | 149.068 | 178.658 | 225.642 | 230.594 | 279.884 |
| 2 | Liew et al. [21] | 98.31 | 127.3 | 179.1 | 253.3 | 256.0 | 284.3 | 333.1 | 349.0 |
|  | Present | 97.981 | 126.784 | 178.158 | 251.737 | 254.077 | 282.040 | 330.076 | 346.283 |
| 2.5 | Leissa [3] | 147.80 | 173.85 | 221.54 | 291.89 | 384.71 | 394.37 | - | - |
|  | Present | 147.029 | 172.795 | 219.870 | 289.423 | 380.780 | 389.892 | 416.233 | 461.384 |
| 4 | Liew et al. [21] | 364.8 | 386.3 | 425.1 | 484.0 | 564.7 | 668.0 | 793.8 | 941.6 |
|  | Present | 360.292 | 381.270 | 419.182 | 476.718 | 555.501 | 669.288 | 793.613 | 967.856 |

Table 6 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{1}$ for one edge clamped and the other edges simply supported rectangular plate (SCSS)

| $\lambda_{1}$ | Mode sequence number of case c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 0.4 |  | 11.750 | 17.187 | 25.917 | 37.832 | 41.207 | 46.362 | 52.901 | 54.872 |
|  |  | 11.747 | 17.181 | 25.903 | 37.790 | 41.175 | 46.321 | 52.858 | 54.811 |
| 0.5 |  | 12.92 | 21.53 | 35.21 | 42.24 | 50.43 | 53.82 | 63.87 | 77.35 |
|  |  | 12.915 | 21.523 | 35.184 | 42.206 | 50.382 | 53.742 | 63.784 | 77.260 |
| $2 / 3$ |  | 15.573 | 31.072 | 44.564 | 55.393 | 59.463 | 83.606 | 88.438 | 93.676 |
|  |  | 15.573 | 31.050 | 44.526 | 55.325 | 59.391 | 83.460 | 88.233 | 93.512 |
| 1 | Liew et al. [21] | 23.65 | 51.67 | 58.65 | 86.13 | 100.3 | 113.2 | 133.8 | 140.9 |
|  | C.H.W. Ng [24] | 23.647 | 51.675 | 58.650 | 86.141 | 100.272 | 113.241 | 133.801 | 140.864 |
|  | Liu [23] | 23.632 | 51.619 | 58.565 | 85.972 | 100.075 | 112.944 | 113.427 | 140.419 |
|  | Leissa [3] | 23.646 | 51.673 | 58.646 | 86.134 | 100.270 | 113.228 | 133.791 | 140.846 |
|  | Present | 23.632 | 51.619 | 58.565 | 85.972 | 100.077 | 112.942 | 133.429 | 140.418 |
| 1.5 | Leissa [3] | 42.528 | 69.003 | 116.267 | 120.996 | 147.635 | 184.101 | 193.802 | 243.496 |
|  | Present | 42.478 | 68.892 | 115.989 | 120.646 | 147.134 | 183.325 | 192.987 | 242.178 |
| 2 | Liew et al. [21] | 69.33 | 94.59 | 140.2 | 206.7 | 208.4 | 234.6 | 279.7 | 293.8 |
|  | Present | 69.193 | 94.359 | 139.766 | 205.714 | 207.355 | 233.298 | 277.887 | 291.640 |
| 2.5 | Leissa [3] | 103.923 | 128.338 | 172.380 | 237.250 | 322.964 | 346.738 | 391.066 | 429.242 |
|  | Present | 103.618 | 127.903 | 171.670 | 235.929 | 318.342 | 320.423 | 343.911 | 387.556 |
| 4 | Liew et al. [21] | 255.9 | 284.3 | 333.1 | 403.2 | 494.7 | 607.6 | 741.4 | 808.9 |
|  | Present | 252.315 | 275.181 | 315.422 | 374.716 | 453.865 | 563.594 | 688.985 | 792.657 |

Table 7 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{1}$ for one edge simply supported and the other edges clamped rectangular plate (CSCC)

| $\lambda_{1}$ | Meferences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 0.4 |  | 23.440 | 27.022 | 33.799 | 44.131 | 58.034 | 62.971 | - | - |
|  |  | 23.420 | 26.991 | 33.750 | 44.046 | 57.928 | 62.851 | 66.798 | 73.611 |
| 0.5 |  | 24.123 | 30.220 | 41.701 | 58.748 | 63.625 | 70.003 | 81.110 | 81.243 |
| $2 / 3$ |  | 25.861 | 38.102 | 60.325 | 65.516 | 77.563 | 92.154 | - | - |
|  |  | 25.837 | 38.051 | 60.211 | 65.387 | 77.368 | 91.883 | 98.341 | 124.477 |
| 1 |  | 31.828 | 63.338 | 71.087 | 100.815 | 116.376 | 130.388 | 151.938 | 159.534 |
|  | Eftekhari [17] | 31.826 | 63.331 | 71.076 | 100.792 | 116.357 | 130.353 | - | - |
|  | Leissa [3] | 31.829 | 63.347 | 71.084 | 100.83 | 116.40 | 130.37 | - | - |
|  | Present | 31.793 | 63.225 | 70.933 | 100.524 | 116.041 | 129.915 | 151.335 | 158.839 |
| 1.5 | Leissa [3] | 48.167 | 85.507 | 123.99 | 143.99 | 158.36 | 214.78 | - | - |
|  | Present | 48.089 | 85.287 | 123.570 | 143.417 | 157.638 | 213.389 | 221.483 | 244.120 |
| 2 | Present | 73.237 | 107.889 | 164.292 | 209.450 | 240.748 | 241.764 | 294.212 | 338.115 |
| 2.5 | Leissa [3] | 107.07 | 139.66 | 194.41 | 270.48 | 322.55 | 353.43 | - | - |
|  | Present | 106.714 | 139.064 | 193.264 | 268.605 | 319.954 | 350.156 | 364.198 | 400.872 |
| 4 | Present | 254.077 | 282.040 | 330.076 | 398.986 | 488.760 | 613.448 | 745.957 | 793.589 |

Table 8 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{1}$ for one pair of opposite sides which are clamped and the other opposite sides which are simply supported in the considered rectangular plate (SCSC)

| $\lambda_{1}$ | Mode sequence number of case e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 0.4 |  | 12.135 | 18.365 | 27.966 | 40.750 | 41.378 | 47.001 | 56.178 | 56.676 |
|  |  | 12.131 | 18.357 | 27.947 | 40.724 | 41.346 | 46.956 | 56.113 | 56.635 |
| 0.5 |  | 13.69 | 23.65 | 38.69 | 42.59 | 51.67 | 58.65 | 66.30 | 83.49 |
|  |  | 13.681 | 23.632 | 38.657 | 42.551 | 51.618 | 58.588 | 66.204 | 83.388 |
| $2 / 3$ |  | 17.373 | 35.344 | 45.429 | 62.054 | 62.313 | 88.805 | 94.213 | 97.425 |
|  |  | 17.365 | 35.311 | 45.387 | 61.958 | 62.226 | 88.624 | 94.045 | 97.245 |
| 1 | Liew et al. [21] | 28.95 | 54.74 | 69.33 | 94.59 | 102.2 | 129.1 | 140.2 | 154.8 |
|  | Liu [24] | 28.922 | 54.666 | 69.193 | 94.359 | 101.994 | 128.674 | 139.765 | 154.199 |
|  | C.H.W. Ng [24] | 28.953 | 54.747 | 69.337 | 94.601 | 102.222 | 129.130 | 140.230 | 154.823 |
|  | Eftekhari [17] | 28.951 | 54.743 | 69.327 | 94.585 | 102.216 | 129.095 | 140.204 | 154.776 |
|  | Leissa [3] | 28.951 | 54.743 | 69.327 | 94.585 | 102.216 | 129.095 | 140.204 | 154.776 |
|  | Present | 28.924 | 54.671 | 69.193 | 94.359 | 102.004 | 128.672 | 139.765 | 154.191 |
| 1.5 | Leissa [3] | 56.348 | 78.983 | 123.172 | 146.268 | 170.111 | 189.122 | 212.817 | 276.001 |
|  | Present | 56.240 | 78.801 | 122.812 | 145.663 | 169.326 | 188.259 | 211.681 | 274.063 |
| 2 | Liew et al. [21] | 95.26 | 115.8 | 156.4 | 219.0 | 254.1 | 277.3 | 303.4 | 318.1 |
|  | Present | 94.951 | 115.372 | 155.686 | 217.740 | 252.315 | 275.181 | 300.986 | 315.421 |
| 2.5 | Leissa [3] | 145.484 | 164.739 | 202.227 | 261.105 | 342.144 | 392.875 | 415.691 | 455.305 |
|  | Present | 144.759 | 163.834 | 200.993 | 259.219 | 339.008 | 388.539 | 410.895 | 449.702 |
| 4 | Liew et al. [21] | 363.5 | 381.1 | 413.3 | 463.2 | 533.5 | 625.4 | 739.6 | 875.9 |
|  | Present | 359.031 | 376.153 | 407.614 | 456.428 | 524.879 | 623.784 | 740.338 | 967.080 |

Table 9 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{1}$ for two attached clamped edges and two attached simply supported edges in the analyzed rectangular plate (CSSC)

| $\lambda_{1}$ | Meferences | Mode sequence number of case f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 0.4 |  | 16.849 | 21.363 | 29.236 | 40.509 | 51.457 | 55.117 | - | - |
|  |  | 16.839 | 21.345 | 29.204 | 40.444 | 51.386 | 55.040 | 55.888 | 63.513 |
| 0.5 |  | 17.760 | 25.181 | 37.938 | 52.276 | 55.898 | 59.501 | 71.759 | 79.012 |
| $2 / 3$ |  | 19.952 | 34.024 | 54.370 | 57.517 | 67.815 | 90.069 | - | - |
|  |  | 19.940 | 33.990 | 54.292 | 57.430 | 67.680 | 89.835 | 90.293 | 108.362 |
| 1 |  | 27.055 | 60.543 | 60.791 | 92.849 | 114.572 | 114.719 | 145.811 | 146.109 |
|  | Eftekhari [17] | 27.054 | 60.534 | 60.786 | 92.836 | 114.556 | 114.704 | - | - |
|  | Leissa [3] | 27.056 | 60.544 | 60.791 | 92.865 | 114.57 | 114.72 | - | - |
|  | Present | 27.033 | 60.447 | 60.696 | 92.630 | 114.257 | 114.406 | 145.295 | 145.599 |
| 1.5 | Leissa [3] | 44.893 | 76.554 | 122.33 | 129.41 | 152.58 | 202.66 | - | - |
|  | Present | 44.833 | 76.395 | 121.956 | 129.002 | 151.971 | 201.630 | 202.634 | 243.077 |
| 2 | Present | 70.933 | 100.524 | 151.336 | 208.316 | 222.752 | 236.990 | 285.597 | 314.432 |
| 2.5 | Leissa [3] | 105.31 | 133.52 | 182.73 | 253.18 | 321.60 | 344.48 | - | - |
|  | Present | 104.982 | 133.001 | 181.823 | 251.531 | 319.096 | 341.808 | 346.869 | 393.867 |
| 4 | Present | 253.127 | 278.377 | 322.330 | 386.284 | 470.788 | 587.181 | 718.921 | 793.100 |

Table 10 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{1}$ for one edge free and the other edges simply supported rectangular plate (SSSF)

| $\lambda_{1}$ | Mode sequence number of case g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 0.4 |  | 10.126 | 13.057 | 18.839 | 27.558 | 39.338 | 39.612 | 42.696 | 48.774 |
|  |  | 8.736 | 12.107 | 17.673 | 26.378 | 34.882 | 38.230 | 41.449 | 46.975 |
| 0.5 |  | 9.227 | 13.707 | 22.409 | 35.973 | 36.607 | 42.992 | 51.851 | 54.443 |
| $2 / 3$ |  | 10.671 | 18.299 | 33.697 | 40.131 | 48.408 | 57.593 | 64.728 | 89.156 |
|  |  | 9.963 | 17.329 | 32.681 | 38.464 | 46.909 | 56.671 | 62.778 | 86.134 |
| 1 |  | 11.684 | 27.756 | 41.197 | 59.065 | 61.861 | 90.294 | 94.484 | 108.918 |
|  |  | 11.684 | 27.756 | 41.197 | 59.065 | 61.861 | 90.294 | 94.484 | 108.918 |
|  | Present | 11.682 | 27.745 | 41.168 | 59.008 | 61.800 | 90.152 | 94.337 | 108.714 |
| 1.5 | Leissa [3] | 13.711 | 43.572 | 47.857 | 81.479 | 92.693 | 124.563 | 132.897 | 158.918 |
|  | Present | 15.399 | 45.935 | 50.518 | 86.335 | 95.672 | 126.383 | 138.411 | 164.236 |
| 2 | Present | 20.511 | 52.443 | 81.356 | 102.758 | 123.715 | 172.429 | 179.794 | 215.721 |
| 2.5 | Leissa [3] | 18.801 | 50.540 | 100.232 | 110.226 | 147.632 | 169.103 | 203.730 | 257.479 |
|  | Present | 26.853 | 60.881 | 111.813 | 120.022 | 170.381 | 181.870 | 232.275 | 271.225 |
| 4 | Present | 51.785 | 97.440 | 151.868 | 223.093 | 282.096 | 313.149 | 361.593 | 422.311 |

Table 11 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{1}$ for one edge free and the other edges clamped rectangular plate (CCCF)

| $\lambda_{1}$ | Meferences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 0.4 |  | 22.577 | 24.623 | 29.244 | 37.059 | 48.283 | 61.922 | - | - |
|  |  | 21.689 | 24.709 | 28.548 | 36.122 | 47.614 | 61.559 | 62.636 | 63.987 |
| 0.5 |  | 21.828 | 25.536 | 33.183 | 45.740 | 61.321 | 64.211 | 65.150 | 73.074 |
| $2 / 3$ |  | 23.015 | 29.427 | 44.363 | 62.417 | 68.887 | 69.696 | - | - |
|  |  | 22.711 | 28.781 | 43.594 | 61.981 | 68.029 | 68.872 | 83.646 | 101.959 |
| 1 |  | 24.020 | 40.039 | 63.493 | 76.761 | 80.713 | 116.80 | - | - |
|  |  | 24.163 | 40.271 | 63.788 | 76.826 | 81.107 | 117.042 | 123.102 | 134.268 |
| 1.5 | Leissa [3] | 26.731 | 65.916 | 66.219 | 106.80 | 125.40 | 152.48 | - | - |
|  | Present | 28.895 | 68.876 | 69.061 | 112.594 | 128.674 | 154.391 | 173.736 | 197.084 |
| 2 | Present | 36.511 | 77.293 | 109.748 | 136.933 | 158.501 | 216.167 | 222.339 | 263.162 |
| 2.5 | Leissa [3] | 37.656 | 76.407 | 135.15 | 152.47 | 193.01 | 213.74 | - | - |
|  | Present | 46.967 | 89.122 | 148.365 | 162.144 | 217.634 | 227.352 | 286.430 | 325.673 |
| 4 | Present | 93.507 | 147.186 | 207.431 | 283.868 | 379.180 | 386.142 | 467.619 | 509.119 |

In tables (12-19), the numerical results of frequency parameters corresponding to the first eight modes ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{h}$ ) of vibration of square plate with different $a / h$ has been reported. In these tables, it is evident that the frequency parameters for each case increase gradually as the $\lambda_{2}$ ratio decreases from 100 to 5 . It can also be seen that $\lambda_{2}$ is more effective in higher modes with respect to first modes of vibration.

Table 12 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{2}$ for a simply supported (SSSS)

| $\lambda_{2}$ | References |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Omega_{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | Dawe [22] | 19.731 | 49.303 | 49.303 | 78.840 | 98.514 | 98.514 | - | - |
|  | Leissa [3] | 19.739 | 49.348 | 49.348 | 78.957 | 98.696 | 98.696 | 128.305 | 128.305 |
|  | Present | Liu [23] | 19.732 | 49.303 | 49.303 | 78.841 | 98.516 | 98.516 | 128.000 |
|  | Present | 19.058 | 45.448 | 45.448 | 69.717 | 84.926 | 84.926 | 106.515 | 106.515 |
| 5 | Liew et al. [21] | 17.448 | 35.448 | 45.448 | 69.717 | 84.927 | 84.927 | 106.516 | 106.516 |
|  | Liu [23] | 17.429 | 38.152 | 55.150 | 65.145 | 65.145 | - | - |  |
|  | Present | 17.429 | 38.073 | 38.073 | 55.002 | 64.951 | 64.951 | 78.434 | 78.434 |

Table 13 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{2}$ for a clamped (CCCC)

| $\lambda_{2}$ | References |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Omega_{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | Liew et al. [21] | 35.99 | 73.40 | 73.40 | 108.2 | 131.6 | 132.2 | 165.0 | 165.0 |
|  | Liu [23] | 35.937 | 73.232 | 73.232 | 107.889 | 131.119 | 131.752 | 164.300 | 164.300 |
| 10 | Present | Liu [23] | 35.942 | 73.237 | 73.237 | 107.888 | 131.125 | 131.756 | 164.291 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Present | 32.489 | 61.937 | 61.937 | 86.778 | 102.207 | 103.185 | 123.595 | 123.595 |
| 5 | Liu [23] | 26.453 | 46.135 | 46.937 | 86.777 | 102.207 | 103.185 | 123.595 | 123.595 |
|  | Present | 26.453 | 46.135 | 46.135 | 61.930 | 70.549 | 71.521 | 83.697 | 83.697 |

Table 14 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{2}$ for one edge clamped and the other edges simply supported (SCSS)

| $\lambda_{2}$ | References |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 23.65 | 51.67 | 58.65 | 86.13 | 100.3 | 113.2 | 133.8 | 140.9 |
| 100 | Liew et al. [21] | 23.632 | 51.619 | 58.565 | 85.972 | 100.075 | 112.943 | 133.427 | 140.419 |
|  | Liu [23] | 23.632 | 51.619 | 58.565 | 85.972 | 100.077 | 112.942 | 133.429 | 140.418 |
| 10 | Present | Liu [23] | 22.376 | 47.063 | 52.090 | 74.004 | 85.759 | 93.064 | 109.072 |
|  | Present | 22.376 | 47.063 | 52.090 | 74.004 | 85.760 | 93.066 | 109.073 | 112.527 |
|  | Liu [23] | 19.671 | 38.860 | 41.334 | 56.667 | 65.248 | 67.699 | 79.210 | 80.160 |
|  | Present | 19.671 | 38.860 | 41.334 | 56.667 | 65.248 | 67.700 | 79.210 | 80.160 |

Table 15 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{2}$ for one edge simply supported and the other edges clamped (CSCC)

| $\lambda_{2}$ | References | Mode sequence number of case d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ |  |
| 100 | Present | 31.793 | 63.225 | 70.933 | 100.523 | 116.041 | 129.915 | 151.335 |  |
| 10 |  | 29.103 | 55.262 | 60.361 | 82.519 | 94.707 | 101.869 | 117.646 |  |
| 5 |  | 24.146 | 43.009 | 45.230 | 60.129 | 68.359 | 70.666 | 81.884 |  |

Table 16 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{2}$ for one pair of opposite sides which are clamped and the other opposite sides which are simply supported in the considered (SCSC)

| $\lambda_{2}$ | References | Mode sequence number of case e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 100 |  | 28.922 | 54.666 | 69.193 | 94.359 | 101.994 | 128.674 | 139.765 | 154.199 |
|  |  | 28.924 | 54.671 | 69.193 | 94.359 | 102.004 | 128.672 | 139.765 | 154.191 |
| 10 |  | 32.489 | 61.937 | 61.937 | 86.778 | 102.207 | 103.185 | 123.595 | 123.595 |
|  |  | 26.645 | 49.062 | 59.118 | 78.683 | 86.721 | 101.152 | 111.848 | 118.657 |
| 5 |  | 22.308 | 39.756 | 44.467 | 58.357 | 65.567 | 70.285 | 80.006 | 81.840 |
|  |  | 22.308 | 39.756 | 44.467 | 58.357 | 65.568 | 70.284 | 80.006 | 81.840 |

Table 17 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{2}$ for two attached clamped edges and two attached simply supported edges in the analyzed ( CSSC)

| $\lambda_{2}$ | References | Mode sequence number of case f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 100 | Leissa [3] | 27.056 | 60.544 | 60.791 | 92.865 | 114.57 | 114.72 | - | - |
|  | C.H.W. Ng [24] | 27.055 | 60.543 | 60.791 | 92.849 | 114.572 | 114.719 | 145.811 | 146.109 |
|  | Present | 27.033 | 60.447 | 60.696 | 92.630 | 114.257 | 114.406 | 145.295 | 145.599 |
| 10 |  | 25.265 | 53.328 | 53.667 | 78.054 | 93.710 | 93.948 | 114.747 | 115.202 |
| 5 |  | 21.694 | 41.917 | 42.299 | 58.380 | 67.905 | 68.154 | 80.852 | 81.171 |

Table 18 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{2}$ for one edge free and the other edges simply supported (SSSF)

| $\lambda_{2}$ | References | Mode sequence number of case g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |  |
| 100 | Leissa [3] | 11.684 | 27.756 | 41.197 | 59.065 | 61.861 | 90.294 | 94.484 | 108.918 |  |
|  | Eftekhari [17] | 11.684 | 27.756 | 41.197 | 59.065 | 61.861 | 90.294 | 94.484 | 108.918 |  |
|  | Present | 11.682 | 27.745 | 41.168 | 59.008 | 61.800 | 90.152 | 94.337 | 108.714 |  |
| 10 |  | 11.488 | 26.706 | 38.656 | 54.196 | 56.711 | 79.147 | 83.017 | 93.502 |  |

Table 19 Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega\left(I_{A} a^{4} / C_{x x}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with increasing $\lambda_{2}$ for one edge free and the other edges clamped (CCCF)

| $\lambda_{2}$ | References | Mode sequence number of case h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{7}$ | $\Omega_{8}$ |
| 100 | Leissa [3] | 24.020 | 40.039 | 63.493 | 76.761 | 80.713 | 116.80 | - | - |
|  | Present | 23.918 | 39.994 | 63.185 | 76.649 | 80.516 | 116.514 | 122.064 | 134.175 |
| 10 |  | 24.056 | 39.024 | 59.747 | 70.445 | 74.533 | 102.929 | 107.377 | 114.721 |

Figs. (5-13) show the variation of the first natural frequency versus $n$ volume fraction index of FG plates for eight different boundary conditions. It can be seen how $\omega_{1}$ changes with $n$ and $\lambda_{1}$.


Figure 5 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case a for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 6 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case b for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 7 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case c for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 8 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case d for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 9 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case e for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 10 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case f for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 11 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case f for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 12 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case g for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 13 Effect of volume fraction index of FG plate on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of case h for $\lambda_{1}=0.4,1,2,2.5,4$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$


Figure 14 Effect of volume fraction index and different boundary conditions on First natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ for $\lambda_{1}=1$ and $\lambda_{2}=100$

Fig. (14) shows effect of volume fraction index on natural frequency at different boundary conditions. Natural frequency is maximized when all edges are clamped and it is minimized at SSSF boundary condition for any volume fraction index.

## 7 Conclusions

In the present paper, free vibration analysis of functionally graded rectangular plate via two dimensional DQ method has been presented on the basis of Mindlin plate theory and for different types of boundary conditions. It is concluded that:

1. The choose of appropriate number of grid points in convergence of DQM depends on boundary condions of plate.
2. The natural frequencies of plate increase in any boundary conditions when volume fraction index increases.
3. maximum and minimum of first natural frequency of the plate are related to CCCC and SSSF boundary edges respectively.
4. First natural frequency at SCSS case is so closed to CCCF case. It seems that when $\lambda_{1}$ increases the effect of volume fraction index is more.
5. In square plate, Natural frequency at SCSC case is more than it in SCCS case. It seems that stiffness of plate at SCSC boundary condition is more than it at SCCS.
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## A Appendix



Figure A. 1 The geometry of mash plate

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
B^{k}  \tag{A1}\\
D^{k}
\end{array}\right]\{\vec{X}\}=\Omega^{2}[\vec{I}]\{\vec{X}\}
$$

The vector $\{\vec{X}\}$, appeared in Eq. (A1), denotes the translational and rotational displacements of an imaging coordinate frame attached to the grid points. It is composed of the vectors $d_{B}$ and $d_{D}$ as equcetion.
The matrix $[\bar{I}]$ is a quasi-identity matrix equal to $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & I\end{array}\right]$ appeared in Eq. (A1).
$B^{k}$ and $D^{k}$ in the equation represent the weighting coefficients of the components of $\{\vec{X}\}$ in the $k^{t h}$ boundary condition and in the $k^{\text {th }}$ domain equation respectively, for constructing according to differential quadrature technique.
The equation (A1) might be rewritten as equation (A2).

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{BB} & \mathrm{BD}  \tag{A2}\\
\mathrm{DB} & \mathrm{DD}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{B} \\
d_{D}
\end{array}\right\}=\Omega^{2}[I]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{B} \\
d_{D}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In Eq. (A2) $B D, D B$ are created due to the fact that the domain and boundary equations are coupled with each other.
These matrices $B B$ and $D D$ come into existence after assembling of the difference equations of the domain and boundary of the plate. The grid points of the domain and the boundary have been illustrated in Figure A.1.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
d_{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
X_{1}^{B} & \ldots & X_{2(N+M)-4}^{B}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
d_{D}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
X_{1}^{D} & \ldots & X_{(N-2)(M-2)}^{D}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
X_{i}^{B} \text { and } X_{i}^{D} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\Theta_{x_{i}} & \Theta_{y_{i}} & W_{i}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\{\vec{X}\}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
d_{B} & d_{D}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
X_{1}^{B} & \ldots & X_{2(N+M)-4}^{B} \mid X_{1}^{D} & \ldots
\end{array} X_{(N-2)(M-2)}^{D}\right. \tag{A6}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} .
$$
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